



The Catalyst Grants Program

Contents

- A. Background Information** 2
- B. Eligibility** 3
- C. Award Information**..... 3
- D. Catalyst Priority Topics** 3
 - a. Topic Area: Advancing Technology to Support Remote Crew Health and Performance Monitoring**..... 3
 - Sub-Topics of Interest:** 4
 - b. Topic Area: Advancing Remote Healthcare Knowledge Base**..... 4
 - Sub-Topics of Interest:** 4
 - c. Topic Area: Advancing Remote Research Capabilities** 5
 - Sub-Topics of Interest:** 5
 - d. Characteristics to Consider** 5
- E. Step-1 Proposals**..... 6
- F. Step-2 Proposals**..... 7
 - a. Application Form** 7
 - b. Project Description** 8
 - c. Biosketches** 9
 - d. Description of Institution/Organization, Resources, and Equipment** 9
 - e. Current and Pending Support** 9
 - f. Management Approach**..... 10
 - g. Data Management Plan** 10
 - h. Statistical Approach**..... 10
 - i. Budget Form and Budget Justification**..... 10
 - j. Letters of Collaboration and Resource Support** 11
 - k. Special Matters (specific information on required animal or human subjects protocol approval, if applicable)** 11
 - l. Instructions for Preparation of Invited Step-2 Proposals** 12
- G. NASA Safety Policy** 13

H.	Review and selection	13
a.	Step-1 Relevancy Review	13
b.	Step-2 Programmatic and External Scientific & Technical Merit Review	13
I.	Award Requirements	15
a.	Award Notification	15
b.	Reporting	15

A. Background Information



The [Translational Research Institute for Space Health](#) (TRISH) is an applied space health research catalyst supported by the [NASA Human Research Program](#) (HRP) to fund disruptive, high-impact scientific studies and technologies to equip astronauts for space exploration. TRISH relentlessly pursues and funds novel research to deliver high-impact scientific and technological solutions that advance space health and help humans thrive wherever they explore, in space or on Earth. TRISH supports programs in physical and mental health surveillance, prevention, countermeasures, and medical treatments. Since its inception, TRISH has funded over 150 projects that have advanced

medical science for both our world and the worlds that lay beyond. As the only institute dedicated to promoting space health discoveries and technologies, TRISH is accelerating research that will benefit all people with a future in deep space and here on Earth.

Founded on October 1, 2016, TRISH works in partnership with NASA’s HRP through Cooperative Agreement NNX16AO69A. Led by Baylor College of Medicine’s Center for Space Medicine, TRISH’s consortium leverages partnerships with the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). More details on TRISH, its mission and funding opportunities can be found at <https://www.bcm.edu/spacehealth>.

TRISH recognizes the need to encourage innovation among the space health community, to attract cutting-edge technologies and high-risk, high-reward ideas, and to translate existing technologies for use in spaceflight. All proposals should be sure to clearly justify how the project will reduce risks to human health and performance in space.

The Catalyst Grant Program offers a streamlined method for carrying out proof-of-concept projects, directed technology development(s) for space health that address a critical need(s), maturation of promising concepts with strong preliminary findings, and innovative projects that present opportunities outside of regularly scheduled solicitation cycles.

In keeping with the TRISH mission of translating and maturing novel approaches, TRISH may identify specific project(s) for deeper investigation or collaboration opportunities with other funded researchers.

Our primary goal is to help mitigate [NASA HRP’s Human Research Roadmap \(HRR\)](#) Risks and enable future Artemis missions and deep space exploration.

B. Eligibility

All categories of United States (U.S.) institutions and companies are eligible to submit proposals. Principal Investigators (PIs) may collaborate with universities, the private sector, and federal, state, and local government laboratories. In all such arrangements, the applying entity is expected to be responsible for administering the project according to the management approach presented in the proposal. For our policy on international proposers and institutions, please refer to the [FAQ](#) and [FAR Supplement](#).

C. Award Information

Tiers	Funding/year	Timeline
Tier 1	<\$150K, Total Cost	1 year
Tier 2	≥ \$150K, Total Cost	Up to 2 years

Tier 1 projects are a maximum total amount <\$150K and limited to one year.
Tier 2 projects can reach a total amount ≥ \$150K for up to two years.

Please note that the Catalyst Program **has limited budget availability.**

All direct and indirect costs required by an institution must be included in the total cost of the award.

TRISH seeks research that enables and enhances human exploration of space beyond low-Earth orbit. Catalyst Projects MUST:

- Have a focus that falls outside of a currently open or recently-closed TRISH solicitation.
- Address a critical human system risk challenge for NASA HRP and TRISH.
- Represent unique and high-impact ideas, technologies, or countermeasures to spaceflight hazards.

Selected proposals will be funded as research grants. Multi-year proposals will be funded in one-year increments. Additional funding allocations to participating investigators will be based on the submitted budget, available funds, programmatic alignment, and project review.

D. Catalyst Priority Topics

Any project that addresses the NASA [Human Research Roadmap](#) (HRR) and the [TRISH Initiatives](#) may be proposed. However, TRISH has identified three topic areas of higher interest, each encompassing several sub-topics. Proposals addressing a listed topic area or sub-topic will receive higher priority. Proposals that fall outside these topics will receive lower priority.

a. Topic Area: Advancing Technology to Support Remote Crew Health and Performance Monitoring

As we move away from Low Earth Orbit (LEO), a paradigm shift is needed in how medical care is delivered in space. In the current system, there is a heavy reliance on communication with the ground which will be a significant challenge for missions to Mars. New tools will be needed to

empower astronauts to make health care and performance decisions without relying on contact with mission control. Advancements are required to enable remote healthcare delivery and enable astronauts to stay healthy while further from Earth for longer than ever before. The topics in this section are aligned with TRISH's Human and Environmental Research Matrix for Exploration of Space (HERMES) initiative; proposers should consider how the data obtained in this section ultimately relates to the ability to provide adequate health care to the future and expanding spacefaring population. *Proposers are expected to consider mass, power, volume, crew time, resupply, connectivity and communication delays in proposals submitted to these sub-topics.*

Sub-Topics of Interest:

1. Approaches to monitor the trabecular bone architecture that could be used in spaceflight. A successful approach must be very low mass, power and volume.
2. Biomedical monitoring devices to robustly collect actigraphy data with a low burden on the crew.
3. Development, or re-purposing for spaceflight, of a sociometric software capable of identifying individual and team psychosocial states (e.g., power dynamics, team identification) to unobtrusively provide crews with meaningful and useful feedback regarding relevant team performance metrics.
4. Using unobtrusive data collection technology to assess individual and team psychosocial states (e.g., power dynamics, team identification) to provide crews with meaningful and useful feedback regarding relevant team performance metrics.
5. Technology improvements that advance the shelf-life of pre-packaged foods or fresh-grown produce and novel aspects of food safety.
6. Technology improvements in repackaging drugs into smaller packages for space travel that preserve their shelf life.

b. Topic Area: Advancing Remote Healthcare Knowledge Base

To support effective remote healthcare in future spaceflight, we will need to personalize healthcare delivery better as we move away from LEO. More personalized healthcare will enable more effective use of a future spacecraft's limited mass, power, and volume resources. The topics in this section are aligned with TRISH's HERMES and Science ENTERprise to INform Exploration Limits (SENTINEL) initiatives; proposers should consider how the data obtained in this section ultimately relates to the ability to provide adequate and personalized health care to the future and expanding spacefaring population.

Sub-Topics of Interest:

1. Determining if changes/biomarkers/biosignatures captured through ground-based brain imaging methods can be used to track or understand human performance or behavioral/cognitive status in normal healthy people longitudinally.
2. Identify, develop, and validate biomarkers. Biomarkers should be validated against the clinical standard if one is available.
3. Using advanced biological systems (i.e., tissue chips, organoids, microphysiological systems, etc.) to define individual susceptibility to Human Research Program (HRP) relevant risks, see HRR especially those in Cancer, CV, BMed/CNS).
4. Using advanced biological systems (i.e. tissue chips, organoids, microphysiological systems, etc.) to advance precision health focused on addressing one or more HRP risk – see HRR.

5. Improved approaches to understanding pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of medications in spaceflight. Particularly in understanding how spaceflight impacts transcription, protein formation, and enzymatic activity related to drug processing on Earth vs in space. Understanding how spaceflight affects liver enzyme activity, and the renal system is particularly important.
6. Advancements in screening and treatment plans for space motion sickness in a remote healthcare setting.
7. Advancements in the screening and treatment plans for urinary retention in a remote healthcare setting.

c. Topic Area: Advancing Remote Research Capabilities

Space research must change as we move away from LEO. The current system heavily relies on communication with the ground and sample return, which will be a significant challenge for missions to Mars. Advancements are needed to improve remote research capabilities to enable effective science in new environments. These topics are aligned with TRISH's SENTINEL initiative; proposers should consider how the data obtained in this section ultimately relates to the ability to conduct adequate research in remote environments.

Sub-Topics of Interest:

1. Using advanced biological systems (*i.e.*, tissue chips, organoids, microphysiological systems, *etc.*) to identify new countermeasures to HRP relevant risks, see HRR especially those in Cancer, CV, BMed/CNS).
2. Unobtrusive data collection technology that can seamlessly collect research data. This can include automated speech-to-text transcription and video coding.

d. Characteristics to Consider

TRISH **strongly encourages** proposers to review the following bullets to ensure the relevance of their proposed research, technology, countermeasure, or idea to TRISH:

- The environment of space is one that includes many simultaneous stressors which impact numerous biological systems at once. With restrictions on mass, power, volume and crew time for space missions, many single-point solutions have limited application for future space travel. Therefore, TRISH encourages cross-discipline and cross-risk work, as well as multi-use technologies. For the list of Human Research Risks please see the NASA HRR (<https://humanresearchroadmap.nasa.gov/>).
- TRISH encourages proposers to take into account the Institute's mission and goals which include supporting research for NASA's future Artemis missions. Artemis Program goals focus on landing humans on the moon and eventually on Mars. Note that early Artemis missions will focus on the Moon specifically, but these missions can also be considered as a testbed for Mars missions in accordance with NASA's future plans (<https://www.nasa.gov/specials/artemis/>).
- TRISH encourages proposers to consider that Artemis missions, especially late Artemis, will have significant time delays for communications, limited connectivity and lack of or minimal resupply capability.
- TRISH encourages projects whose endpoints could be spaceflight implementable within a near-term (3 years or less) expected timeframe, suitable for the Artemis Program. TRISH

will prioritize highly relevant proposals that make a strong case for maturing enough to reach an implementable deliverable within 3 years.

- Given the above-described restrictions on mass, power, volume, crew time, resupply, connectivity, and communication delays, TRISH allows the maturation of existing technologies, countermeasure candidates, or research focus areas provided a strong case is made for reaching an implementable deliverable within 3 years.
- TRISH encourages methods that are out-of-the-box, challenge assumptions, and could lead to extraordinary outcomes.
- TRISH seeks new ways to partner with stakeholders, new approaches to find promising technologies, or new methods to extend TRISH's reach into emerging areas with the potential to reduce, maintain, or enhance human health (physiological/psychological) and performance during deep space missions.

TRISH **discourages** the following types of proposals:

- TRISH discourages projects that provide a single-point solution (see above).
- TRISH discourages work that is primarily in animal models with no translation toward human cells, tissue chips, organs, or human subjects.
- TRISH will not consider Catalyst proposals on topics that are the same as NASA or TRISH solicitations that are currently open.
- Any proposals focusing on complex invasive procedures will need to clearly justify why the procedure would likely be feasibly implementable and required during the expected timeline of the Artemis Program.

E. Step-1 Proposals

Proposals for funding are accepted at any time. This solicitation uses a two-step proposal process.

All proposing organizations must register (or already be registered) with the [System for Award Management \(SAM\)](#) to ensure ability to receive funds if selected. Proposals must be submitted through the TRISH Grant Research Integrated Dashboard (GRID) at <https://spacehealth.bcm.edu/>. The proposal submission format and template are available on GRID and are detailed below. **Proposals that do not conform to these requirements may be declared noncompliant and declined without review.**

To initiate a Step-1 proposal:

- Create or login to your GRID account.
- Click "Programs" in the top navigation bar.
- Select "The Catalyst Grants Program" and click "Apply."
- Complete the tasks listed under "Your tasks." When all sections are marked as complete, you will be able to review and submit your proposal.

Step-1 proposals submitted to this solicitation should include a synopsis of the intended research, with the total length of the Step-1 proposal not to exceed two 8 ½ by 11-inch pages using a standard 12-point font and one-inch margins.

All Step-1 proposals must include:

1. Clearly identified specific aims and relevant approach information for the research plan;
2. Clearly defined deliverables or expected outcomes. A deliverable is the end result of a research project. It could be a report, protocol, new approach, recommendation, technology, software, device, product, *etc.*;
3. An explanation of alignment with the TRISH Catalyst Priorities; and
4. A summary of how the work will meet a need for human health and performance in spaceflight. The proposer should note the HRP HRR risk(s) they are addressing and clearly describe how the proposed work would benefit human health and performance in space.

No additional documents should be uploaded with the Step-1 proposal. Project personnel are **not** considered binding for Step-1 and can be adjusted later in an invited Step-2 proposal.

Step-1 proposals are prepared by the PI or a designated representative of the PI. TRISH does not require institutional sign-off at the Step-1 level. The proposer must follow their institution's policy regarding institutional sign-off; GRID allows for an authorized organizational representative to sign-off, if applicable.

Proposals that do not conform to these requirements may be declared noncompliant and declined without review. Following the successful submission of a Step-1 proposal, TRISH may invite a proposer to submit a Step-2 proposal. Proposers will be notified via email from GRID (noreply@mail.smapply.net) regarding Step-1 proposal status.

F. Step-2 Proposals

Proposers will be notified via email from GRID (noreply@mail.smapply.net) regarding Step-1 proposal status. If invited to submit a Step-2 proposal, click on the link within the email to initiate the Step-2 proposal. The system will guide proposers through submission of all required proposal information.

Proposals that do not conform to the requirements listed below may be declared noncompliant and declined without review.

Each section of the proposal listed below should conform to the stated page limits (if no page limit is given, use space as needed). **Excess pages in a section (and proposal sections not clearly identified and included in the list below) will be redacted and the proposer notified.**

a. Application Form

All full proposals **must be** in the format given below. Key project information must include:

- Principal investigator (PI)
- Contact information (email, phone, mailing address)
- Proposing institution
- Team members and/or co-investigators (see Section [F.i.](#))
- Collaborating institutions (if any)
- Project title
- Proposed start/end dates
- Technical point of contact

- Authorized organizational representative, with contact information
- Total funds requested
- Cost-sharing

b. Project Description

The maximum page limit for the Project Description for Tier 1 is 8 pages and Tier 2 is 15 pages, using 8 ½ by 11-inch pages, a standard 12-point font and one-inch margins. The page limit for full proposals includes all figures, tables, and charts (references are not included in the page limit). Figure and Table captions can use a 10-point font. The submission of appendices along with the proposal is strongly discouraged and reviewers will not be required to review any extraneous materials.

The Project Description should include the following required sections:

Background, Specific Aims and Hypothesis, Preliminary Data, Innovation, Relevance to Spaceflight, Deliverables, and Timeline. Furthermore, we provide below a few points to consider including:

- Background should include state of the art for the research topic, and how the proposal will contribute to it or spaceflight crew health;
- Specific Aims must be clearly stated and outlined;
- Preliminary, or supporting data (preliminary data is encouraged, if applicable);
- Clearly state the advantage of new capability over current gold standard and what will be learned by using this technique;
- How the proposed work will reduce a significant space health challenge;
- Clearly detail the team and their strengths;
- Explain the potential challenges for the project and mitigation strategies;
- Description of deliverables along with timeline in table format;
- Explain the Countermeasure Readiness Level (CRL) or Technology Readiness Level (TRL).

TRL Definition	CRL Definition	TRL/CRL Score	CRL Category
Basic principles observed and reported	Phenomenon observed and reported. Problem defined	1	Basic Research
Technology concept and/or application formulated	Hypothesis formed, preliminary studies to define parameters. Demonstrate feasibility	2	Research to Prove Feasibility
Analytical and experimental proof-of-concept of critical function and/or characteristics	Validated hypothesis. Understanding of scientific processes underlying problem	3	
Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment	Formulation of countermeasures concept based on understanding of phenomenon	4	Countermeasure Development
Component and/or breadboard validated in a relevant environment	Proof of concept testing and initial demonstration of feasibility and efficacy	5	
System/sub-system model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment	Laboratory/clinical testing of potential countermeasure in human subjects to demonstrate efficacy of concept	6	

System prototype demonstration in an operational environment	Evaluation with human subjects in controlled laboratory conditions simulating operational spaceflight environments	7	Countermeasure Demonstration
Actual system completed and "flight qualified" through test and demonstration	Validation with human subjects in actual operational spaceflight to demonstrate efficacy and operational feasibility	8	Countermeasure Operations
Actual system flight proven through successful mission operations	Countermeasure fully flight tested and ready for operational implementation	9	

References must be included and support the scientific/technical validity of the proposed research (no page limit).

If your proposal is a resubmission, you should identify it as such in your Step-1 submission. Resubmitted proposals that were previously externally reviewed are required to address prior reviews if invited to submit a Step-2 full proposal.

c. Biosketches

The proposal should describe the participants who will have critical management or technical roles including their qualifications, capabilities, and experience. These team members, defined as devoting $\geq 10\%$ of their effort, must provide a biographical sketch or track record ([NASA Proposer's Guide](#)). See the "Categories of Proposal Personnel" section on page 39 of the [NASA Proposer's Guide](#) for more details on team members. Although TRISH does not require a specific biosketch format, we recommend using the NIH biosketch template found [here](#).

d. Description of Institution/Organization, Resources, and Equipment

This section must describe any existing facilities and equipment that are required for the proposed investigation and whether the team already has access to them or how they plan to gain access (2 pages maximum).

e. Current and Pending Support

PIs must provide all ongoing projects and pending proposals (regardless of salary support) in which they are performing or will perform any part of the work. Co-investigators devoting $> 10\%$ of their time to the proposed effort must provide ongoing projects and pending proposals (regardless of salary support) that require a significant share (more than 10%) of their time. For those investigators for whom it is required, this section must provide the following for each current and pending project:

- Title of funded project or proposal title;
- Name of PI on award or proposal;
- Program name (if applicable) and sponsoring agency or organization, including a point of contact with their telephone number and email address;
- Performance period;
- Total amount received by that investigator (including indirect costs) or the amount per year if uniform (e.g., \$50K/year); and
- Time commitment by the investigator for each year of the period of performance.

The proposing PI must notify TRISH (<https://trish.my.site.com/s/concierge>) immediately of any successful proposals that are awarded for substantially the same research as proposed from any time after the proposal due date and until the time that selections are announced.

f. Management Approach

The management structure for the proposal personnel should be provided. In particular, plans for distribution of responsibilities and arrangements for ensuring a coordinated effort should be described. The plan should include:

- A project schedule that identifies anticipated key milestones for accomplishments and dependencies between tasks;
- The management structure for the proposal personnel;
- Any substantial collaboration(s);
- Any proposed use of consultant(s); and
- **A description of the expected contribution to the proposed effort, by task and sub-task, by the PI and each person identified in one of the additional categories.**

g. Data Management Plan

Each proposal must include a Data Management Plan (DMP) including a Software Sharing Plan (if appropriate) that describes how data generated by the proposed research will be shared and preserved as well as how data collected will be made available to the public, in a reusable de-identified format, on completion of experiments. The DMP should include justification if data sharing or preservation is not appropriate or possible. DMPs must provide a plan for making all research data underlying results and findings in publications digitally accessible at the time of publication. DMPs are expected to include publication in peer-reviewed journals as well as plans to deposit study data in NASA data archives, as requested. The DMP is limited to 2 pages and proposers must use the template provided.

TRISH has plans to store research-related data sets, and these data sets must be stored in a secure manner and for potential delivery of TRISH products to NASA. The data and information obtained from this program will be used to generate a knowledge base that will inform a unified human physiologic response to risks in spaceflight. Participation in the sharing of data will be expected from awardees.

Applicants must commit to sharing and making protocols and methodologies, data, biomaterials, models, reagents, tools, and resources available to TRISH as appropriate and consistent with achieving the goals of the program. Adjustments for coordination of research plans, validation of models, materials, methods, and data; and sharing with the research community will be established by TRISH and applicable NASA policies.

h. Statistical Approach

A thorough one-page statistical section must be included which includes a power analysis for the estimate of sample size. If a statistical section is not applicable, a justification statement must be included. Please see the Guidelines for Statistical Evaluation of NASA Human Research Studies posted alongside this document for additional information concerning sample size calculations.

i. Budget Form and Budget Justification

The proposal budget is made up of two parts: the budget justification and the budget details. The budget details are the actual or estimated costs, in whole dollars, that correspond with the budget justification.

- TRISH caps indirect rates at negotiated federal rates.
- Proposals must include at least 10% cost sharing in the budget. Please refer to [FAQ](#) for more details. Cost sharing may be contributed in cash or in-kind (non-cash contributions) provided by non-Federal third parties.
- A travel allowance should be included in the proposal budget to attend the annual NASA Human Research Program Investigators' Workshop in the Houston/Galveston area. This meeting typically occurs in late January or early February.
- TRISH awards are total costs (Direct plus indirect).

j. Letters of Collaboration and Resource Support

Every person who is expected to have a significant role (*i.e.*, assigned responsibilities appropriate to a defined category of personnel), regardless of their organizational affiliation, in the execution of the proposed effort, or who will be receiving payment for their contributions, should be identified by being added as a Collaborator on the proposal.

In GRID, PIs should click on the “Add Collaborator” button on the application’s first page. Adding a collaborator within the GRID application will generate an invitation to the individual whom has been identified, facilitating account creation in GRID. Creation and verification of a GRID account from this email invitation will indicate collaborator acceptance.

Letters of resource support are only required if there is a facility or resource essential to the proposal not under the control of a Proposal Team member. Submitting the statement of commitment, the team member confirms that any facilities or resources needed for the proposal are readily available for the proposal team member(s) requiring its use.

If the proposal involves the conduct of research by a non-U.S. organization, signed letter(s) of certification must be included that verifies that funding for their research will be provided by a responsible organization(s) or government agency(ies) should the proposal be selected by TRISH. Letters must be signed by an official at the organization for agency authorized to make such a commitment.

k. Special Matters (specific information on required animal or human subjects protocol approval, if applicable)

For proposals using human subjects and/or animals, assurance of compliance with human subjects and/or animal care and use provisions is required.

TRISH utilizes just-in-time practices for approval of the use of human subjects or animals. For proposals employing human subjects and/or animals, assurance of compliance with human subjects and/or animal care and use provisions is requiring within 90 days of notice of award. For such proposals, please state whether for the Institutional Review Board (IRB)/Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) is “pending,” “approved,” or explain why it is not required. If the IRB/IACUC certification is already approved at proposal submission, attach a copy of the certification as part of the proposal upload. This will not be considered part of the Project Description.

After award, a statement must be provided to TRISH from the proposing institution that identifies the selected proposal by name and certifies that the proposed work will meet all federal and local requirements for human subjects and/or animal care and use. This includes relevant documentation of IRB approval and/or approval by the IACUC.

TRISH will require current IRB or IACUC certification prior to each year’s award, including commencement of the first year of funding.

Policies for the protection of human subjects in NASA-sponsored research projects are described in the NASA Policy Directive (NPD) 7100.8G “Protection of Human Research Subjects” (<http://nodis3.gsfc.nasa.gov/displayDir.cfm?t=NPD&c=7100&s=8E>).

Animal use and care requirements are described in 14 CFR 1232 (<http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=0f9ead361196e5c93e16529a88b785f2&mc=true&node=pt14.5.1232&rgn=div5>) and NASA Procedural Requirements (NPR) 8910.1D “Care and Use of Animals” (https://nodis3.gsfc.nasa.gov/displayDir.cfm?Internal_ID=N_PR_8910_001D_&page_name=main).

TRISH will require current IRB and IACUC certification prior to each year’s start date of award.

Each response to this solicitation that requires Vertebrate Animals and/or higher order Cephalopods Section (VACS) must address the five points outlined in the VACS instructional document posted alongside this document. This response should be presented as part of the main proposal upload and is limited to two pages. These two pages are not considered part of the project description. A sample VACS is provided in the VACS instructional document posted alongside this document.

I. Instructions for Preparation of Invited Step-2 Proposals

Section	Required?	Page Limit	Location
Table of Contents	Optional	As needed	
Project Description	Yes	8 pages for Tier 1 and 15 pages for Tier 2	F.b.
References and Citations	Yes	As needed	
Management Approach	Yes	As needed	F.f.
Statistical Approach	Yes	1	F.h.
Biosketches	Yes	As needed	F.c.
Current and Pending Support	Yes	As needed	F.e.
Description of Institution/Organization, Resources, and Equipment	Yes	As needed	F.d.
Data Management Plan	Yes (including Software Sharing Plan if experiment produces any software or code, including high-level languages)	2	F.g.
Budget Form and Budget Justification	Yes	As needed	F.i.
Statement of Commitment and Letters of Resource Support	Yes, if resources or facilities are not directly under PI control	As needed	F.j.
Animal Care or Human Subjects certifications	Yes, if applicable/available	As needed	F.k.

Vertebrate Animals and/or higher order Cephalopods Section	Yes, if applicable	2	E.k.
--	--------------------	---	----------------------

G. NASA Safety Policy

TRISH has chosen to adopt NASA’s safety policy. Safety is NASA’s highest priority. Safety is the freedom from those conditions that can cause death, injury, occupational illness, damage to or loss of equipment or property, or damage to the environment. NASA’s safety priority is to protect: (1) the public; (2) astronauts and pilots; (3) the NASA workforce (including employees working under NASA instruments); and (4) high-value equipment and property. All research conducted with TRISH funding shall conform to this policy.

H. Review and selection

a. Step-1 Relevancy Review

Each Step-1 proposal will be reviewed by members of the TRISH Science Office. TRISH will review Step-1 proposals based on whether the proposal:

- a. aligns with TRISH’s Catalyst Priorities and the Institute’s mission;
- b. is not already being addressed by NASA or TRISH.

TRISH encourages proposers to review the Catalyst Priorities listed in [Section D](#).

For proposals declined at the Step-1 phase, the proposer will receive an email from GRID (noreply@mail.smapply.net). Please note that proposals declined at this phase will not receive specific feedback regarding their proposal.

b. Step-2 Programmatic and External Scientific & Technical Merit Review

Step-2 proposals will be initially screened by the TRISH Science Office for availability of funds, programmatic relevance, and assessment of the following criteria:

- a. How the proposed work would meet a clear need for human health and performance in spaceflight;
- b. How the research approach is state-of-the-art or is considered innovative;
- c. Programmatic alignment with the TRISH portfolio;
- d. Appropriateness of the budget, timeline, and feasibility;
- e. Eligibility for federal funding support (see [Eligibility](#) criteria for details).

For proposals declined at the programmatic review phase or for compliance reasons, the proposer will receive an email from GRID (noreply@mail.smapply.net) indicating the proposal is not going to be reviewed. Please note that proposals declined at this phase will not receive specific feedback regarding their proposal.

Step-2 Proposals that are within scope of the TRISH mission and have programmatic relevance with a budget above the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (\$150K) will then be considered for technical and scientific merit review (external peer review). TRISH also reserves the right to send Step-2 proposals below the Simplified Acquisition Threshold for external review if deemed appropriate. It is the policy of TRISH to ensure impartial, equitable, and comprehensive proposal evaluations based on the evaluation criteria for scientific and technical merit, potential contribution, relevance to TRISH mission and cost.

All of the following criteria will be used in determining the merit score.

Innovation:

Does the study use innovative techniques or methods? Are the techniques, approaches, or methods new to spaceflight research or applied in a way that is novel? Will the study meet the expectations of TRISH that studies go beyond merely taking an incremental step following prior studies? Would maturation of this project lead to new or improved approaches for space health?

Significance:

If the aims of the application are achieved, how will it affect TRISH's mission of enhancing spaceflight research and space health? Would negative data provide valuable information? Meaningful results can also include identification of technologies or countermeasures not worthy of further study; that is, evidence-based exclusion of possibilities.

Approach:

Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well integrated, and appropriate to the aims of the project? Is the proposed approach likely to yield the desired results? Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative tactics?

Environment and Team:

Are the investigators, collaborators, and other researchers well suited to the project? If the project is collaborative, do the investigators have complementary and integrated expertise? Does the scientific environment in which the work will be performed contribute to the probability of success? Do the proposed experiments take advantage of unique features of the scientific environment or employ useful collaborative arrangements? Is there evidence of institutional support?

The following criteria will be used in for non-merit comments:

Non-merit:

Is the budget appropriate? Is the project feasible in the proposed timeline? Is the vertebrate animal and higher order cephalopod section (if corrections would not significantly affect the experimental design) appropriate? Are the plans for data management acceptable?

Step-2 Proposals that have undergone peer review will be presented to TRISH Executive Council for award recommendation. Final selection of awards is made by the TRISH Executive Director and Selection Official. TRISH may allow for one resubmission of the proposal, if programmatically relevant. The resubmission will also undergo peer review.

Award(s) will be made to proposers whose proposals are determined to be the most programmatically relevant to TRISH, as determined through internal and/or external review and consistent with instructions and evaluation criteria specified in this document, and availability of funding. TRISH will notify the proposer of the final decision, approximately 3-4 months after submission. If sent for external review, redacted reviews will be provided to the proposer regardless of outcome.

I. Award Requirements

Institutions must be registered in the system for award management (SAM) database (www.sam.gov) prior to receipt of funds. SAM registration usually takes around 30-60 business days to complete, though new policies may temporarily lengthen the registration time.

a. Award Notification

Selected investigators **must** respond to reviewer comments before funding commences. Changes to aspects of the proposal detailed in the response will be considered as part of the proposal when TRISH evaluates milestones and deliverables. Proposals may be partially funded. Proposers may be requested to modify sections of the research plan or to work with other experts to ensure the feasibility or to align the project with TRISH's science programs. The principal investigator may elect to accept or decline the award at the award notification phase.

In the award letter, TRISH will specify a start date for the proposed project. The start date may be delayed by 3-12 months based on budget availability. As a result, the principal investigator may accept or decline the award at the award notification phase.

b. Reporting

As previously stated, the Catalyst Program supports investigators working on innovative and disruptive projects that are higher risk than traditional proposals. Therefore, reporting will be required on a regular basis. The awardees are responsible for day-to-day operations related to the research supported by the award. However, to carry out these tasks as required by TRISH, a Science Office representative will monitor the progress to help identify potential problems and areas where technical assistance may be needed. This monitoring will be achieved through half-year reports and other virtual meetings on an as-needed basis. TRISH will discuss progress being made on aims, timelines, and deliverables, while also providing feedback to help mitigate obstacles.

Recipients from the Catalyst Grants should expect the following reporting schedule and guidelines:

- As-needed meetings to ensure progress is being made on aims, timelines, deliverables while providing feedback and advice to help mitigate obstacles.
- Interim half-year reports that will present a summary of work and research completed, and aims achieved (or if not achieved, provide justification). This report should also discuss any problems encountered, update the project schedule, present financial status, and discuss planned work.
- One annual written report including a list of publications, invention disclosures, a description of progress including a comparison with the originally proposed work schedule, and results of periodic data reviews. The final report is required no later than 60 days after the project end date as well as a close-out webinar with NASA and TRISH stakeholders. If the project is a 2-year project, the report is due 30 days before year 2 renewal.
- Project data will be expected to be archived with TRISH and NASA, along with metadata and any other information required for subsequent use or interpretation within one year of project completion.
- TRISH-funded authors and co-authors will be required to clearly identify support received from TRISH in all publications, invention disclosures, copyrights and patents using the

following phrase: “This work is supported by the Translational Research Institute for Space Health through NASA Cooperative Agreement NNX16AO69A.”

- TRISH-funded authors and co-authors will also be required to send TRISH copies of their peer-reviewed scientific publications and to deposit all publications and associated data into NASA’s publication repository NASA PubSpace (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/funder/nasa/>) managed by the NIH’s Pubmed Central.

TRISH encourages interface between the awardee and the TRISH Science Office to foster translational relevance for deep space biomedical needs, as needed. TRISH reserves the right to terminate projects deemed to have missed key aims, deliverables, timelines after scientific TRISH review, as per NASA regulations, [Section § 1260.161](#).

Resolution of concerns during the pre-award and post-award phases is under the purview of the TRISH Science Office at <https://trish.my.site.com/s/concierge>.